

1 Christine Y. Wong, Bar No. 284026
2 ChristineWong@mofo.com
3 Morrison & Foerster LLP
4 425 Market Street
5 San Francisco, California 94105-2482
6 Telephone: 415.268.7000
7 Facsimile: 415.268.7522
8 Attorney for Gary Szukalski
9
10 Ted W. Cassman, Bar No. 98932
11 Raphael M. Goldman, Bar No. 229261
12 goldman@achlaw.com
13 cassman@achlaw.com
14 Arguedas, Cassman, Headley & Goldman LLP
15 803 Hearst Avenue
16 Berkeley, CA 94710
17 Telephone: (510) 845-3000
18 Facsimile: (510) 845-3003
19 Attorneys for Nicole Eagan
20
21 Thomas H. Bienert, Jr., Bar No. 135311
22 Sandra Lechman, Bar No. 288660
23 tbienert@bklwlaw.com
24 slechman@bklwlaw.com
25 Bienert Katzman Littrell Williams LLP
26 CalEdison Building
27 601 W. 5th Street, Suite 720
28 Los Angeles, CA 90071
29 Telephone: (213) 528-3400
30 Facsimile: (949) 369-3701
31 Attorneys for Rob Sass

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

20 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No. 3:18-cr-577-CRB

21 Plaintiff,

**STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF
TIME TO RESPOND TO RULE 17
SUBPOENAS**

23 MICHAEL RICHARD LYNCH and
STEPHEN KEITH CHAMBERLAIN,

Defendants.

26 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 45(b), Gary Szukalski, Rob Sass, and
27 Nicole Eagan respectfully submit this stipulation for a second sixty (60) day extension of time in

STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO
RULE 17 SUBPOENAS Case No. 3:18-cr-577-CRB

1 which to produce documents requested by counsel for the United States in the above captioned
2 matter.

3 On June 8, 2022, this Court issued Rule 17 Subpoenas to Testify and Produce Documents
4 or Objects in a Criminal Case (the “Subpoenas”) to each of Gary Szukalski, Rob Sass, and Nicole
5 Eagan (the “Subpoena Recipients”). The original deadline to provide responsive documents was
6 October 5, 2022. On October 5, 2022, the Court extended the original deadline to December 5,
7 2022. If the Court grants this stipulation, the response will instead be due Friday, February 3,
8 2023.

9 Counsel for the Subpoena Recipients informed counsel for the United States of their
10 intention to seek this extension. The United States does not oppose and joins in this stipulation.
11 In support of this stipulation, the Subpoena Recipients state the following:

12 1. There is good cause to grant this stipulation. While reserving all rights regarding
13 objections to the subpoena, the Subpoena Recipients are engaged in discussions with the United
14 States regarding the scope of documents sought by the Subpoenas.

15 2. In the interest of judicial efficiency and to allow time for these discussions to continue,
16 the Subpoena Recipients request that this Court allow a 60 day extension of time in which to
17 produce documents.

18 3. The United States has consented to the requested extension and would not be
19 prejudiced if it were granted. Moreover, given that this matter is not yet set for trial, the
20 extension sought will not delay the Court’s proceedings.

21 5. For the foregoing reasons, the Subpoena Recipients respectfully request that, in
22 accordance with this stipulation, the time in which they may produce documents responsive to the
23 Subpoenas be extended by sixty days, to and including February 3, 2023.

24

25

26

27

28

STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO
RULE 17 SUBPOENAS
Case No. 3:18-cr-577-CRB

SF:4937486

1 Dated: November 28, 2022

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

3 By: /s/ Christine Y. Wong
4 Christine Y. Wong

5 Attorney for Gary Szukalski

6 Dated: November 28, 2022

7 By: /s/ Ted W. Cassman
8 Ted W. Cassman

9 Attorney for Nicole Eagan

10 Dated: November 28, 2022

11 By: /s/ Stephen James Binhak
12 Stephen James Binhak

13 Attorney for Rob Sass (admitted pro hac vice)

14 Dated: November 28, 2022

16 By: /s/ Adam A. Reeves
17 Adam A. Reeves

18 Assistant United States Attorney

28 STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO
29 RULE 17 SUBPOENAS
30 Case No. 3:18-cr-577-CRB

SF:4937486